

VLBI 2010

Dirk Behrend¹, Johannes Boehm², Patrick Charlot⁹, Tom Clark¹,
 Brian Corey³, John Gipson¹, Ruediger Haas⁴, Yasuhiro Koyama⁵,
 Dan MacMillan¹, Zinovy Malkin⁶, Arthur Niell³, Tobias Nilsson⁴,
 Bill Petrachenko⁷, Alan Rogers³, Gino Tuccari⁸, Joerg Wresnik²

1. NVI, Inc./Goddard Space Flight Center, Code 698, Greenbelt, MD 20771, USA
2. Institute of Geodesy and Geophysics/University of Technology, Gusshausstrasse 27-29, 1040 Vienna, Austria
3. MIT Haystack Observatory, Off Route 40, Westford, MA 01886, USA
4. Onsala Space Observatory, SE-439 92, Onsala, Sweden
5. National Institute of Information and Communications Technology, 893-1 Hirai, Kashima, Ibaraki 314-0012, Japan
6. Pulkovo Observatory, Pulkovskoe Ch., 65-1, St. Petersburg, 196140, Russia
7. Geodetic Survey Division, Natural Resources Canada, 615 Booth St., Ottawa, Ontario, Canada
8. Istituto di Radioastronomia INAF, Stazione VLBI di Noto, C.P. 161, I-96017 Noto SR, Italy
9. Observatoire de Bordeaux, BP 89, 33270 Floirac, France

IUGG XXIV 2007 Perugia, Italy July 2-13, 2007
 IUGG IAG IAGA IAHS IAMAS IAPSO IASPEI IAVCEI

1 Introduction

Very Long Baseline Interferometry (VLBI) is a vital technique in the realization and maintenance of the terrestrial and celestial reference frames (TRF and CRF). It uniquely provides the ICRF and the link between the ICRF and ITRF by providing the full set of Earth orientation parameters (EOP), in particular DUT1 and nutation. The current VLBI system was conceived and constructed mostly in the 1960's and 1970's. Aging antennas, increasing interference problems (RFI), obsolete electronics, and high operating costs make it increasingly difficult to sustain the current levels of accuracy, reliability, and timeliness. To alleviate this situation, in 2003 the International VLBI Service for Geodesy and Astronomy (IVS) initiated Working Group 3 to define the next generation geodetic VLBI system, which has come to be called VLBI2010. The WG3 report is available at http://ivsc.gsfc.nasa.gov/about/wg/wg3/IVS_WG3_report_050916.pdf.

This development went hand-in-hand with the gradual establishment of the Global Geodetic Observing System (GGOS) of the IAG. The IVS aligned the design goals for the VLBI2010 system with the GGOS goals and strives for global baselines to be accurate to 1 mm and stable to 0.1 mm/yr. To realize this demanding goal, the IVS VLBI2010 Committee investigated the various facets that the new system needs to have in order to fulfill the requirements. The major focus right now is on reducing the major error sources stemming from the atmosphere, the instrumentation, and the structure of the radio sources.


	Current	VLBI2010
antenna size	5–100 m dish	~ 12 m dish
slew speed	~20–200 deg/min	≥ 360 deg/min
sensitivity	200–15,000 SEFD	≤ 2,500 SEFD
frequency range	S/X band	~2–15 (18) GHz
recording rate	128, 256 Mbps	8–16 Gbps
data transfer	usually ship disks, some e-transfer	e-transfer, e-VLBI, ship disks when required

2 Increasing the Number of Observations per Day

Increasing the number of observations per day depends on three factors:

- ◆ Decreasing the length of time to slew from source to source
- ◆ Decreasing the time to acquire data while on a source
- ◆ Improving the scheduling algorithm

Although the steps taken to increase the number of observations have been successful, the effort has shown that more work needs to be done to optimize the sky coverage in the short (<10 minute) intervals corresponding to the atmospheric variability, perhaps at the expense of the total number of observations.



3 Decreasing the Per Observation Measurement Error

Recent technological advances have made it economically feasible to simultaneously use several (four or more) frequency bands spread across a wide frequency range, e.g. 2 GHz to 15 GHz. Analysis shows that, with such systems, VLBI phase ambiguities can be reliably resolved, resulting in typical delay precision of about 2.5 ps (less than 1 mm). For comparison, with current group delay systems, per-observation delay measurement error is typically about 10 to 30 ps.

To demonstrate that this broadband delay concept will work in practice, NASA is supporting an R&D project to build a proof-of-concept "broadband" system and to evaluate its performance under real-world conditions. Major challenges for the concept include successful operation in the presence of RFI, realistic radio source structure, and uncalibrated instrumental offsets. The principal components of the new system are:

- Broadband dual linearly polarized feed: 2 GHz to ~16 GHz (Figure 2)
- Broadband low noise amplifier: 2 GHz to ~12 GHz
- Digital backend: four 512 MHz channels each; 2 bits/sample
- Mark5b+ recorder: 2 gigabits per second

Initial tests will be performed on the ~600 km baseline using the Westford (near Boston) 18m antenna and the GGAO (near Washington, D.C.) 5m antenna. The proof-of-concept system will record both linear polarizations from four 500 MHz bands that can be independently positioned in the frequency range 2 GHz to approximately 12 GHz. The aggregate data rate at each site will be 8 Gbps using four Mk5B+'s and two Digital Back Ends (DBEs). Detailed designs are at an advanced stage, parts are being acquired and assembled, and the first tests are expected in late Summer.



Figure 2. ETS-Lindgren quadridge broad band feed inside heat shield attached to the 15K station of the cryogenic refrigerator. Dewar is to the right.

4 Simulations

As part of the development of specifications for the new VLBI2010 observing system, the IVS has been performing simulations to evaluate the geodetic performance of VLBI2010 networks. Monte Carlo simulations of different system specifications have been performed by geodetic analysis using simulated data consisting of troposphere, clock, and thermal noise delay contributions. These simulations allow us to investigate optimal network antenna locations, antenna sensitivities, slew rates and observing schedules. Figure 3 shows the comparison of the analysis of CONT05 data, which is the best VLBI contribution to date, and the result of the Monte Carlo simulations performed with a realistic parametrization of tropospheric, clock, and thermal noise delays. Also added to this plot is a simulation of a 16 station network with VLBI2010 specifications.




Figure 3. The blue dots show the real data analysis of CONT05. The green crosses show the analysis using the Monte Carlo simulator, with clock parameters of 1×10^{-14} @50min and a turbulence model for the tropospheric parameters. The thermal noise is based on the real observation errors of each baseline observation. The red triangles show the simulation of a 16 station network of VLBI2010 antennas. The clock parameters are set to 2×10^{-15} @15min, the troposphere delays are simulated by the turbulence model, and a white noise of 4 psec is added as thermal noise.

5 Network Size

A series of Monte Carlo runs were performed to evaluate the relative performance of networks of different size. For this purpose, a series of theoretical networks were generated that have roughly uniform global coverage and use plausible locations (e.g. the sites are on land and are collocated with GPS). These simulations indicate that the VLBI2010 network could improve the determination of the TRF scale to roughly several parts in 10^{10} in a single session. Simulation and analysis of the dependence of EOP quality on the network size have shown an expected twofold improvement in both EOP precision and accuracy compared to existing regular IVS networks.




Figure 4. Terrestrial Reference Frame scale parameter precision from Monte-Carlo simulations. Precision is expressed relative to the precision of the 8-site network.




Figure 5. 16 station test network of VLBI2010 antennas

6 Source Structure Corrections

As we approach 1 mm precision, source structure becomes an ever more significant error component for geodetic VLBI. Furthermore, it significantly degrades our potential to use the proposed "broadband delay" technique to access VLBI's precise phase delay observable. As a result, it will be important to apply appropriate modeling to correct for such source structure errors.

With the new VLBI2010 observing scenarios that include larger networks and a manifold increase in the number of observations per session, UV coverage has improved to the point where precise VLBI images of the ICRF sources could be constructed on a daily basis directly from the geodetic observations, therefore enabling source structure corrections to be calculated. Simulations are currently underway to evaluate the potential of this approach.

7 Summary

As part of the IVS VLBI2010 renewal process, studies are being carried out to develop requirements for achieving 1 mm position accuracy on global length baselines. This is a work in progress. Highlights include:

- ◆ Studies show that faster slewing antennas, burst mode recording and improved scheduling algorithms yield a quantum increase in VLBI observations per session.
- ◆ Proof-of-concept tests are in preparation to demonstrate the broadband delay technique for achieving an order of magnitude improvement in delay precision.
- ◆ Monte Carlo simulators have been developed to evaluate the benefits of improved instrumentation and scheduling strategies.
- ◆ The Monte Carlo simulators are being calibrated against CONT05 real data.
- ◆ Monte Carlo results indicate that increased observation density and delay precision lead to position precisions in the range 1.5-3.5 mm. Better scheduling and analysis strategies are expected to lead to further improvements.
- ◆ Initial studies indicate that the increase in observation density and larger networks will enable active source structure corrections. Further simulations are underway.

Acknowledgments

For extensive help with the design and implementation of the feed, dewar, and LNAs, we thank Sandy Weinreb and Hamdi Mani (Caltech), Per-Simon Kildal (Chalmers University), Mike Poirier and Bruce Whittier (MIT Haystack Observatory), and Mark Evangelista, Jay Redmond, and Irv Diegel (Honeywell Technical Services). We appreciate the support of John Labrecque of NASA Headquarters and Chopo Ma of Goddard Space Flight Center for funding the prototyping effort.